

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: May 2010	Surveyor: Nik Gruber
----------------	----------------------

<b>Tree details</b> TPO Ref (if applicable): T2-520 Owner (if known): N/A	Tree/Group No: T1 Location: R/O 659 Portswood Road	Species: Ash
---	---	--------------

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

#### **Part 1: Amenity assessment**

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good                  | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair                  | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

Score & Notes - 5

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

Score & Notes - 4

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes - 3

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees                           | Score & Notes - 1 |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion                              |                   |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance                       |                   |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual                              |                   |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) |                   |

#### **Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- |                               |                   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree   | Score & Notes - 3 |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |                   |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree   |                   |
| 1) Precautionary only         |                   |

#### **Part 3: Decision guide**

- |       |                       |                          |                      |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      | Add Scores for Total: 16 | Decision: merits TPO |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |                          |                      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |                          |                      |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |                          |                      |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |                          |                      |